

**UNCLASSIFIED FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL FORM**

(b) (3)

**DATE:** 02/19/2002**SENDING FAX TELEPHONE NUMBER:** [REDACTED]**RECEIVING FAX TELEPHONE NUMBER:** [REDACTED]OPR b2**NUMBER OF PAGES** 3 **(Including Transmittal Form)****FROM:** [REDACTED]Office of Security/CIA(Name / Office / Extension)**SUBJECT:** Minutes from the Security Working Group meeting - 02/14/2002**TO: (ADDRESSEE / ORGANIZATION / OFFICE / EXTENSION)**

1.	James Duncan DoJ/OPR [REDACTED] OPR b2
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	
6.	
7.	
8.	
9.	
10.	

**SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS:**The Working Group meeting for Thursday, 2/21 is canceled. The next meeting will take place onThursday, 2/28 (same time, same place).**RELEASING OFFICIAL:**(PRINTED NAME)(SIGNATURE)**RECEIVING STATION USE ONLY**

Please receipt for material by entering time of receipt/signature and transmit back to sending station.

**DATE / TIME:** **SIGNATURE:** **FORM**  
**10-92****4383 (EF)**APPROVED FOR RELEASE  
DATE: DEC 2006

UNCLASSIFIED

**Inter-Agency Task Force on Unauthorized Disclosures  
Security Working Group Meeting Minutes  
Central Intelligence Agency**

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
Thursday, 14 February, 2002  
1:00-2:30 p.m.

ATTENDEES: [REDACTED] Co-Chair, CIA  
Jeff Gaynor, Co-Chair, OSD/OASD  
Bernardine Ayer, OSD/OASD  
[REDACTED] NIMA/Legal  
[REDACTED] NIMA  
[REDACTED] NSA  
[REDACTED] CIA/OGC  
Nancy Rolph-O'Donnell, DoS/DSS  
Richard Ingram, DoS/DSS  
[REDACTED] CIA/OS  
Betsy York, FBI  
[REDACTED] DIA  
James Duncan, DoJ/OPR  
[REDACTED] CIA/OS  
[REDACTED] NRO

James Duncan updated the group on the Litigation Group's progress. Their recommendations to the IATF will be finalized this week and some of their recommendations will more than likely overlap with the Security Working Group's recommendations.

[REDACTED] informed the group that [REDACTED] (CIA) has been tasked by CIA/OGC to prepare a document regarding damage assessments in regard to media leaks. Two versions will be prepared; one unclassified and one classified.

The following questions (received from the 02/12/2002 IATF meeting) were discussed:

- What processes/procedures exist for revocations? How many steps/reviews are required before a decision is rendered?
  - Should our processes be consistent across all organizations?

UNCLASSIFIED

## UNCLASSIFIED

- o What, if any, changes would we advocate?
- What procedures exist to protect whistleblowers? Are these protections adequately communicated to the employees?

Each member gave a brief overview of their agency's processes/procedures for security revocations. It was the consensus of the Working Group that security revocation procedures should be consistent throughout the Intelligence Community. The Group also discussed the need for publication of adverse actions against employees for unauthorized disclosures throughout the Intelligence Community as a means of deterring future unauthorized disclosures.

With regard to whistleblowing, members agreed that their respective agencies have ample resources allocated to educate employees on procedures and protections.

Also addressed was whether or not EO 12968 should be revised. Most members agreed it should not be revised, however, the NIMA and DoD Working Group members thought otherwise. The processes employed by their agencies is much more cumbersome than the other agencies represented.

**Tasking #1: review the Interim Report from the Legislative Working Group and bring comments to the next meeting.**

**Tasking #2: allow others within your respective organizations to review the Security Working Group Status Report and bring any questions/concerns to the next meeting.**